

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addease COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1430 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.webjo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/634,221	08/04/2003	Peter D. Roberts	LSBC-0137-CP04B	1497		
27860 LARGE SCAI	7590 02/22/200 LE BIOLOGY CORPO	EXAM	EXAMINER			
3333 VACA VALLEY PARKWAY SUITE 1000 VACAVILLE. CA 95688			ZHE	ZHENG, LI		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
	,	1638				
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE		
			02/22/2008	PAPER		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/634,221	ROBERTS ET AL.	
Examiner	Art Unit	
LI ZHENG	1638	

	LI ZHENG	1638						
The MAILING DATE of this communication appea	rs on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress					
THE REPLY FILED 17 January 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.								
☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 3 or CR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:								
 a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date of 								
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire lat Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b	The period for reply expires on: (f) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPET 9706.07(f).							
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of whave been filled is the date for purposes of determining the period of extended of the control of th	nsion and the corresponding amount of ortened statutory period for reply origin	of the fee. The appropria nally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as					
The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compli	ance with 37 CEP 41 37 must be f	iled within two months	of the date of					
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extensions. Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with	sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the						
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>								
3. ☐ The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below); (b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);								
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in bette appeal; and/or			ne issues for					
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	orresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.						
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	1. See attached Notice of Non-Cor	mpliant Amendment (I	PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):								
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allow non-allowable claim(s).		•						
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provious. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		be entered and an e	xplanation of					
Claim(s) objected to:								
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:								
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 								
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to ov showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	ercome all rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a					
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	of the status of the claims after er	itry is below or attach-	ed.					
The request for reconsideration has been considered but See Continuation Sheet.	does NOT place the application in	condition for allowan	ce because:					
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) 13. ☐ Other:								
- —								
	/Elizabeth F. McElwain/ Primary Examiner, Art U	nit 1638						

U.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Claims 13-14, and 16-19 remain rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, because the specification, while being enabling for a bipartite RNA viral vector, who round for the control of the properties of the pr

Applicants presented similar arguments to those in previous response file 7/28/07, therefore for the same reason stated in previous office action filed 10/18/07, the rejection is maintained. In addition, Applicants argue that claim 13 is not limited to specific utility, however, Applicants still need to enable at least one of them. The enabled utility needs to be specific and substantial. With respect to the teaching of Example 14, applicants argue that although these morphological features may not appear to be desirable at first glance, these changes derive from the genetic and blochemical changes that occurs as a result of using the vector. However, undue experimentation would be required for a person skilled in the art to use the claimed vector to obtain a desirable trait. The fact that the vector can be used for research is not a specific utility.